SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—Somehow it is wrongly printed. It relates to me and I will answer this question.

SRI GOVIND P. VADEYARAJ.—There are lot of complaints from the public regarding the seed distribution, and the exorbitant rates at which the seeds were distributed. The Hon'ble Minister in his reply has stated that there were no complaints from the public. I would like to know how you would like to recieve the complaints ? Is it not sufficient if the public made complaints ?

† SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—I cannot understand the question. The answer is quite specific-complaints are not received by the Government.

SRI GOVIND P. VADEYARAJ.—Whenever the Hon'ble Chief Minister and other Ministers have visited that place, the public have represented that the seeds were not properly distributed etc., If there wer seeds available in small quantity, those seeds were sold in black market. That is the complaint that has been lodged by the public.

SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—Seeds were properly distributed. There was an adequate supply of seed distribution and there was no complaint in this respect to the Government.

SRI GOVIND P. VADEYARAJ.—Complaints have been made to the Hon'ble Chief Minister also. Has it not come to the notice of the Hon'ble Minister ?

SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—Sir, some times people have drawn the loan for the purchase of seeds to the extent of Rs. 10,000 per individual. Therefore, it cannot be said that inadequate supply of seed was there.

SRI GOVIND P. VADEYARAJ.—At least will the Government take note of this fact now and institute proper inquiry into the mal-distribution of seeds and also shortage of seeds in Bidar District ?

SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—I don't feel the necessity of it.

Sites allotted and Houses built by Bangalore Development Authority

194. SHRI T. R. SHAMANNA (Basavanagudi).—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of sites allotted by the Bangalore Development Authority;

(1) As general allotment ;

(2) As stray sites from the last 4 years ;

---

† Indicate that remarks or speeches have not been revised by the member concerned,

(*b*) the No. of houses built by the Bangalore Development Authority under several schemes and number of houses allotted to public ?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) 39,390 sites.

(1) 3,304 sites have been allotted by way of general allotment.

(2) 36,086 sites have been alloted as Stray sites.

(*b*) 1,824 houses have been built under several schemes. 1,172 houses have been allotted to public.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಆರ್. ಶಾಮಣ್ಣ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ನನಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ೩೯,೩೯೦ ಸೈಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಅಲಾಟ್ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ, ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕವಾಗಿ ೩,೩೦೪ ಸೈಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಅಲಾಟ್ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ, ೩೬,೦೮೬ ಸೈಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಟ್ರೇ ಸೈಟ್‌ಗಳೆಂದು ಅಲಾಟ್ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ನನಗೆ ತಿಳಿದ ಹಾಗೆ ಇದು ತಲೆಕೆಳಗಾಗಿ ಇದೆಯೆಂದು ಅನಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

† SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—I will give the break-up figures. It is as follows :—

Sites allotted under regular allotment	...	3,304
Revenue sites holders given alternative sites	...	4,518
Rehabilitation of Slum-Dwellers	...	5,288
Rehabilitation of Harijans displaced from military lands	...	80
Re-conveyance of revenue sites	...	8,101
Allotment of stray-sites to E.W.S. and Vulnerable Group-Class IV	...	17,279
Industrial sites	...	485
Other sites including agricultural sites	...	335
	Total	39,390

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಆರ್. ಶಾಮಣ್ಣ.—ಈಗ ಲೋಕಸಭೆಯ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ೧೦ ಸಾವಿರ ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ಎಂಡಾರ್ಸ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

"I would like to inform you that it is under the active consideration of the Bangalore Development Authority to form sites for allotment to the economically weaker sections. The Allotment intimation will be posted to you in due course.

You will have to bear with the delay owing to the total ban as a result of Urban Property Ceiling Limit Act..." ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಆದರಲ್ಲಿ ಇದೆ. ಇವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಆಗಲೇ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷದ ಮೇಲೆ ಆಯಿತು. ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಏನೂ ಉತ್ತರವಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದೂ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ವೀಕರ್ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ಸ್‌ಗೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಕೊಡದೆ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವಾದರೂ ಏನು ?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—The Hon'ble Member now incidentally happens to be the Member of the B.D.A. also by virtue of his Membership of the Assembly. It is in his hands now. He can see that the Board takes quick decisions. It is not the fault of the Board partly ; it is because of the Urban Property Ceiling Limit Act and some such thing. The Government could not take a decision regarding the acquisition of lands because the rules were not framed. Even now the rules are yet to come from the Government of India. So till the rules are framed, our hands are tight and if we wanted to acquire lands under the regular acquisition act, we felt that the cost would be very much high. The main objective of the Government as well as the B.D.A. is to give more sites and to see that the site-less people in Bangalore particularily the Weaker Sections, Poorer people, Lower Middle Class and Other people would all get sites within a specified period. So, to achieve that objective, if we were to acquire lands under normal acquisition act, our fear was the cost would become very high and naturally, these Weaker Sections could not bear it. In between these two extremes, we delayed and even now there is no possibility of getting these rules from the Centre.

Because it is a Central Act it is the Central Government which has to frame the rules and send it to us. After the General Election of 1977, they have put off taking decision. Under these circumstance we could not proceed with this work. Now, the BDA has to keep mum without doing the work. Therefore, recently we have taken a decision to use the existing Land Acquisition Act itself and wherever possible, acquire the lands at a reasonable cost and distribute sites. I think the decision was taken nearly 20 days back and that has been communicated to the hon. Member Mr. Shamanna and other members.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಆರ್. ಶಾಮಣ್ಣ —ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದಾಗ ನಾನು ಬಿ.ಡಿ.ಎ. ಮೆಂಬರ್ ಆಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಯವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಆಶ್ವಾಸನೆಗೆ ನ ನ್ನ ವಂದನೆಗಳು. ಆದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ೩೯ ಸಾವಿರ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಸೈಟುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಬಹಳ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಕಳೆದ ೪ ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ೧೮೨೪ ಸೈಟುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಬಿ.ಡಿ.ಎ ರವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾದಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆಯಾಗಿ ಹೌಸಿಂಗ್ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ ಮುಖಾಂತರ, ಬಿ.ಡಿ.ಎ ಮುಖಾಂತರ ಮತ್ತು ಹೌಸಿಂಗ್ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ ಮುಖಾಂತರ ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿತಕ್ಕಂಥಾದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ತೀಪ್ರವಾಗಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—I don't mind to have a meeting with the Members of the BDA and other MLA's concerned here and the Housing Corporation and Town Planning Authority. I think to do the best is we shall sit together and find out what are the possibilities of helping these people to built the houses as early as possible and how to get funds for this purpose. All these things have to be decided. It is a very complicated affair, but nevertheless we have to tackle this housing problem which is really acute in cities and more so in a city like Bangalore.

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ರಾಮದೇವ್.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕವಾಗಿ ಹಂಚಿಕೆಯಾದ ನಿವೇಶನಗಳಿಗಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ೩೬,೦೦೦ ಬಿಡಿ ನಿವೇಶನಗಳನ್ನು ಹಂಚಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಈ ಸ್ಟ್ರೇ ಸೈಟ್‌ಗಳ ಅಲಾಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ನೆಪೋಟಿಸಂ, ಕರಪ್‌ಷನ್, ಫೇವರಿಟಿಸಂ ಇದೆಯೆಂದು ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ದೂರುಗಳು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದಿವೆ. ಹಾಗೆಯೆ ಇದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೂ ಬಂದಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಈ ಸ್ಟ್ರೇ ಸೈಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಹಂಚುವಾಗ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಸೈಟುಗಳನ್ನೂ ಸಾರ್ವತ್ರಿಕವಾಗಿ ಹಂಚಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ಹಿಂದೆಯಲ್ಲಾ ಈ ಸ್ಟ್ರೇ ಸೈಟುಗಳು ದೊಡ್ಡದೊಡ್ಡವರಿಗೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾಗ ಯಾರೂ ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಈ ಸೈಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಬಡವರಿಗೆ ಹಂಚುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋದಾಗ ಚಾರ್ಜಸ್ ಶುರುವಾಗುತ್ತಾ ಇದೆ. Government is not detered by all these things The stray sites have been given in accordance with the rules and regulations. If there are any irregularities committed, there may be instances where they may be regularised. If there is charges of corruption and other things, certainly let the hon. Member point out, we will go into the question.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಆರ್. ಶಾಮಣ್ಣ.—ಈಗ ನಾನು ಕಳೆದ ೩ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಿಂದ ಬಿ.ಡಿ.ಎ. ಮೆಂಬರ್ ಆಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಹಿಂದೆ ಸೈಟುಗಳ ಹಂಚಿಕೆ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕರಪ್‌ಷನ್ ಇತ್ತು ಎಂದು ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ. ನಾನು ಎಷ್ಟೇ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಪಟ್ಟರೂ ಸೈಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುತ್ತಾ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಈಗ ಸೈಟುಗಳು ಹಂಚಿಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದರ ಪೈಕಿ ಸ್ಕೃಪ್‌ಲೆಸ್‌ಲಿ, ರಿಜಿಡ್ ಮತ್ತು ಕರೆಕ್ಟ್ ಡಿಸಿಷನ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಸೈಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹಿಂದೆ ಆದವೆಲ್ಲಾ ತಿಳಿಯದೇ ಆಗಿರಬೇಕು. ಈಗ ಈ ಸೈಟುಗಳ ಹಂಚಿಕೆ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ರಮಬದ್ಧವಾದ ನೀತಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿದೆ.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—I am thankful for the Hon. Member who is speaking on behalf of the Board and its effective way of dealing with this matter. But to allot stray sites a decision was taken by the Board. The rules and the Act also provides for the distribution of stray sites. Sir, out of the total No. of applications received from the people 3/4th of the applications are disposed of by alloting stray sites. Many people may not be aware of the practice about the allotment of these stray sites. A story is behind this. This has been in practice since a long time. It has not started from last year. All these sites have been given eversince the starting of CITB in Bangalore. How these stray sites have come into existence is like this : I will give few instances. Certain sites allotted to certain persons due to surrender by the allottees have become available as stray sites. That is one way. Then sites become available by way of cancellation due to non-payment of site value ; the sites become available on formation of sites in odd and bits of land which were lost of sight of and subsequently found and taken by the investigation division etc., ; then sites become available on formation of sites on odd and bits, small and block of land that had been available here and there either on account of acquisition or by taking of the whole land and sent to the acquisition proceedings ; the sites become available on account of land taken by BDA for allotment to the economically Weaker Section and Class IV. These are all several

methods by which the stray sites are being distributed. Even some revenue lands are also acquired. There are instances and instances where the CITB will have acquired, paid compensation, taken possession of the land and completely forgotten about it. Forgotten not by negligence or with wilful understanding, or with any purpose.

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ರಾಮದೇವ್.—೬೦೬ ಸೈಟ್ರೀ ಸೈಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೆಲವರಿಗೆ ಹಂಚಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರು ಬಡವರಲ್ಲ. ಆದನ್ನು ಬಿ.ಡಿ.ಎ ರವರು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟರು; ಅದು ಕ್ಯಾನ್ಸಲ್ ಆಯಿತು. ಈಗ ಪುನಃ ಬಿ.ಡಿ.ಎ ರವರು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸೈಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮತ್ತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆ ಸೈಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಬಡವರಿಗೆ ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡಬಾರದಿತ್ತು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ಬಡವರು ಅಲ್ಲದವರಿಗೆ ಸೈಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲೇಬಾರದು ಅಂತ ಏನೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. Even nearly 1,000 sites have been given like that. We have given nearly 17,000 sites to Class IV, Constables, weaker section and others and to those who have applied not once but for several times. In the purpose of distribution, may be, certain sites might have been given to the people othewise by notification who are in actual need of this. I do not know those details. It is for the B.D.A. to consider whether those sites can be given as stray sites or by notification. But by and large I would like to submit to this House that the main objective of ours is to see that people who had applied for sites and not got them should all get sites in the course of a few years. Government's idea is to see that every family in Bangalore, particularly people who are economically weak should get a site.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ಅರಕೇರಿ.—ಕಳೆದ ನಾಲ್ಕು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹಂಚಿರುವ ೩೬,೦೮೬ ಬಿಡಿ ನಿವೇಶನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹರಿಜನ-ಗಿರಿಜನರಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಂಚಲಾಗಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಹಂಚಿರುವ ೧,೧೭೨ ಮನೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಹರಿಜನ-ಗಿರಿಜನರಿಗೆ ಹಂಚಲಾಗಿದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿ. ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಹರಿಜನರನ್ನು ಎಷ್ಟಾದರೂ ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬನ್ನಿ, ಅವರಿಗೆ ನಿವೇಶನ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಆದರೆ, ಹೊರಗಡೆಯವರನ್ನು ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬರಬೇಡಿ. ಇಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ನಿವೇಶನ ಕೊಟ್ಟನಂತರ ಬಾಕಿಯವರದನ್ನು ಆಮೇಲೆ ಕೊಡೋಣ.

Rangadholi Anecut in Hukkeri Taluk

249. SRI S. S. MAHAJANA SHETTY (Hukkeri).—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state :—

(*a*) when the survey work of (Rangadori) dam was started in Hukkeri taluk ;

(*b*) the stage at which the scheme stands ;

(*c*) the extent of land that comes under irrigation under the scheme ?